

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/735,511

Applicant(s)

ROTHSCHILD ET AL.

Examiner

Paul A. D'Agostino

Art Unit

3714

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Paul A. D'Agostino.(3) Jeremie Moll.(2) John Hotaling.(4) Wanye Tang.

Date of Interview: 18 October 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 11, and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: U.S. 2003/0130033 to Loose.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JOHN M. HOTALING, II  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In regards to claims 1 and 11, Applicant amended the claims to include "the visual bonus indicator when displayed indicates to a player a probability {likelihood} exists of a bonus award being awarded". Applicant contends that this correlation does not exist in the Loose reference. Examiner agreed to revisit the disclosure of Loose. Further, Applicant proposed but needs to review and provide support for "said termination indicating to said player that there is no longer a probability of the bonus award being awarded". Regarding claim 21, no agreement was reached as the the patentability of the proposed changes.



JOHN M. HOTALING, II  
PRIMARY EXAMINER